Application of the Fluorous-Biphase Concept to Palladium-Catalyzed Sonogashira Couplings

by Christian Markert¹) and Willi Bannwarth*

Institut für Organische Chemie und Biochemie, Universität Freiburg, Albertstrasse 21, D-79104 Freiburg (Phone: $++49761203-6073$; fax: $++49761203-8705$; e-mail: willi.bannwarth@organik.chemie.uni-freiburg.de)

Dedicated to Professor Wolfgang Pfleiderer on the occasion of his 75th birthday

Sonogashira C,C couplings in a fluorous biphasic system by application of three differently perfluorotagged Pd complexes are reported. All three complexes were probed in the coupling of four bromoarenes to three alkynes. The parallel workup procedure allowed for straightforward product isolation and catalyst recovery by simple extraction steps. In particular, the coupling of electron-deficient bromoarenes proceeded with good yields and allowed for the catalyst to be used up to three times.

1. Introduction. $-$ According to the alchemists rule *similia similibus solvuntur*, highly fluorinated compounds show greatly enhanced solubility in perfluorinated alkanes even in the presence of a second organic phase. This principle can be applied in catalytic processes as well as in combinatorial strategies. Horvath and $Rabai$ [1] were the first to point out that this allows for a perfluoro-tagged catalyst to remain in the fluorous phase, while other reactants dissolve in the organic phase. Furthermore, the temperature-dependent miscibility of such fluorous biphasic systems (FBS) [2] provides an option to homogenize the phases at elevated temperatures. Workup is essentially straightforward, as the two phases reform after lowering the temperature again. Simple phase-separation suffices to recover the perfluoro-tagged catalyst. At the same time, product isolation can be reduced to an extraction protocol.

Syntheses in the nonpolar reaction medium $scCO₂$ represent a further application of perfluoro-tags where they can be used to mediate sufficient solubility [3]. Hence, there is currently a great deal of interest in perfluoro-tagged catalysts.

So far, a number of reactions have been successfully evaluated under FBS conditions, including hydroformylation [4], hydroboration [5], hydrosilylation [6], epoxidation [7] [8], and the Rh-catalyzed cyclopropanation of alkenes [9], oxidations of aldehydes [7], thioesters [7], and alkanes [10], as well as Wacker oxidations [11], Pdcatalyzed allylic substitutions [12], and Negishi [13] and Heck reactions [14].

Among the transition-metal catalyzed reactions, C,C couplings are of particular importance, as they allow construction of molecule skeletons. We have previously reported on highly efficient protocols for Stille [15] and Suzuki [16] cross-coupling

¹) Present address: Institut für Organische Chemie, Universität Basel, St. Johanns-Ring 19, CH-4056 Basel (e-mail: christian.markert@unibas.ch).

reactions and introduced the use of some new perfluoro-tagged bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium complexes for this purpose2).

In alkyne chemistry, the Sonogashira reaction is probably the most widely used coupling reaction for enyne syntheses [17]. Here, we report the application of the FBS concept to the Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction, with the goal of straightforward isolation of products and catalysts by extraction procedures and the possibility to re-use the active catalyst for subsequent runs.

2. Results and Discussion. – Motivated by our results with perfluoro-tagged Pd complexes 1a and 1b (Fig.) as catalysts in *Stille* [15] and *Suzuki* [16] reactions, we were interested in developing a protocol for Sonogashira couplings.

Figure. Perfluoro-tagged Pd Complexes $1a - c$

To the best of our knowledge, Sonogashira reactions employing FBS conditions have not been reported yet. These C,C couplings are complicated by the need for CuI as co-catalyst. As Pd precatalysts, we have applied complexes $1a - c$. In complexes 1a and **1b**, the perfluoro entity and the phenyl rings are interspaced by a CH_2-CH_2 group to reduce the electron-withdrawing effect of the perfluoro tag. Preliminary experiments on Sonogashira couplings showed mainly formation of black Pd when analogous Pd complexes without such spacer groups were applied. Hence, we decided also to probe complex $1c$ bearing a CH₂O spacer group, of which we expected to reduce the electronwithdrawing effect even more [18]. Both types of complexes (1a and 1b vs. 1c) should differ in the coordination properties of their phosphine ligands, and this might have also an influence on their catalytic properties and stabilities. Complex $1c$ (Fig.) was prepared from the corresponding phosphine [19] as described for 1a and 1b [15] [16] [18].

The Pd-catalyzed Sonogashira couplings of bromoarenes $2a - 2d$ with alkynes $3a - 2d$ 3c were carried out in a mixture of DMF and 1,2,2,3,4,4,5,5,6,6-decafluoro-1,3 bis(trifluoromethyl)cyclohexane at 100 $^{\circ}$ for 4 h with 2 mol-% of **1a**-**1c** as precatalyst and 5 mol-% CuI as co-catalyst in the presence of 2 equiv. Bu₂NH (*Scheme*). This FBS remained biphasic at 100° , although the mutual miscibility of the phases was certainly increased. After the reaction, to separate the phases, the temperature was lowered to 0° . The products $4a - 4l$ were isolated and identified. The yields were based either on the isolated material or on ¹H-NMR spectra against an internal standard. The fluorous phase containing the active catalyst was washed several times with DMF and was reused as such for the next run.

²⁾ Compounds 1a and 1b are meanwhile commercially available $(Fluka)$.

Scheme. Reactions of Different Bromoarenes with Alkynes

The scope of the reaction was investigated with electron-deficient and electron-rich bromoarenes, $2a - 2c$ and $2d$, respectively. Furthermore, three different types of alkynes were investigated bearing a silyl $(3a)$, an aromatic $(3b)$, or an aliphatic substituent $(3c)$ (Table). The general trends in reactivity reported for Sonogashira couplings were confirmed by our experiments [20]. Electron-deficient bromoarenes and nonaliphatic alkynes proved to be reactive substrates. Hence, all three complexes $1a - 1c$ mediated the coupling of the strongly electron-deficient 1-bromo-4-nitrobenzene $(2a)$ to alkynes 3a and 3b with virtually quantitative yield in up to three consecutive runs (*Entries 1* and 2). However, the aliphatic alkyne $3c$ tended to give lower yields (*Entry 3*). The reaction of the other two electron-deficient bromoarenes 2b and 2c also gave good results in two runs before yields decreased in a third run (*Entries* $4-9$). Electron-rich bromoarenes are known to be the least reactive ones. For 1-bromo-4-methoxybenzene $(2d)$, complexes 1b and 1c gave good results only with alkyne 2a (*Entries 10-12*), but no consecutive runs were possible.

Table. Sonogashira Cross-Couplings of Various Bromo-arenes $2a-d$ with Alkynes $3a-c$ in the Presence of Catalysts $1a-c$

Entry	Substrates		Prod.	Yield ^a) $[\%]$		
				Catalyst 1a	Catalyst 1b	Catalyst 1c
$\mathcal I$	2a	3a	4a	> 98, > 98, > 98	> 98, > 98, 96	> 98, > 98, 98
2	2a	3b	4b	$> 98.$ > 98.97	$> 98.$ > 98.96	$> 98.$ > 98.97
$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	2a	3c	4c	73, 66, 69	74, 73, 68	71, 81, 73
4	2 _b	3a	4d	> 98, > 98, 89	>98, 95, 82	> 98, 97, 81
5	2 _b	3b	4e	80, 76, 84	97. > 98.90	95, 85, 18
6	2 _b	3c	4f	94, 92, 75	90, 95, 5	> 98, 91, 3
7	2c	3a	4g	> 98, 98, 69	98.98.95	95, 95, 65
8	2c	3b	4h	74, 85, 80	95, 95, 88	91, 82, 4
9	2c	3c	4i	68, 50, 3	85, 86, 38	99, 83, 5
10	2d	3a	4j	47, 8, 10	80, 16, 5	95, 10, 6
11	2d	3b	4k	22, 18, 0	20, 20, 0	25, 17, 0
12	2d	3c	41	10, 0, 0	30, 0, 0	40, 0, 0
				^a) Yields based on isolated product or on NMR from run 1 to run 3.		

The data summarized in the Table show similar activity for all three Pd complexes 1a - 1c under investigation. Apparently, both the position and the nature of the spacer group allows for variations.

Conclusions. - In summary, we were able to demonstrate that Sonogashira couplings can be performed with perfluoro-tagged precatalysts $1a - 1c$ under FBS conditions. Furthermore, we could show that, in most cases, the active Pd catalysts can be recycled and re-used after phase separation. All three catalysts generated from the precatalysts $1a - 1c$ showed similar activity. Neither the position of the perfluoro tag nor the nature of the spacer group exerted a crucial influence.

The outcome of the coupling reactions catalyzed by complexes $1a - 1c$ shows the known trends for *Sonogashira* couplings. Electron-deficient bromoarenes $(2a - 2c)$ proved to be good substrates, whereas the coupling of a donor-substituted bromoarene 2d gave lower yields. This held particularly true for consecutive runs.

We would like to thank Fluka, Buchs (CH) and Byk Gulden Pharmaceuticals, Konstanz (D) for financial support, Mrs. Schonhard, Mr. Reinbold for recording NMR spectra, Mr. Warth and Dr. Wörth for recording MS spectra, and Mr. Hickl for performing elemental analyses.

Experimental Part

1. General. All reagents were obtained from either Fluka or Aldrich, and were used as received. The Pd complexes $1b - 1c$ were prepared according to literature procedures [15] [16] [21]. Pd Complex 1a was a generous gift from *Fluka*. Anal. data for **1c**: ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, Hexafluorobenzene/CDCl₃): 4.63 (*t*, *J* = 12.6, $CH₂$); 7.13 (d, J = 8.7, H – C(3), H – C(5)); 7.83 (m, H – C(2), H – C(4)). ³¹P-NMR (Hexafluorobenzene/CDCl₃): 20.6. Anal. calc. for $C_{84}H_{38}Cl_2F_{90}O_6P_2Pd$: C 32.63, H 1.24, Cl 2.29; found: C 32.40, H 1.27, Cl 1.83.

All reactions were carried out in parallel fashion in degassed solvents under Ar. Parallel evaporation of solvent was performed with an IR-Dancer vortex evaporator from Labsource. M.p. were measured with the electrothermal digital melting device IA 9200 and are uncorrected. Column chromatography (CC): SiO_2 from Baker and alox (act. II - III) from ICN Biomedicals, D-Eschwege. NMR Spectra: 250, 300, or 400 MHz (¹H), 100 MHz (¹³C); CDCl₃ solns.; chemical shifts δ in ppm rel. to Me₄Si (=0 ppm) for ¹H-NMR and rel. to CHCl₃ $(= 77.00$ ppm) for ¹³C-NMR; *J* in Hz. MS: *Finnigan MAT 8200* (EI) mass spectrometer.

2. General Procedure for the Coupling of Bromoarenes $2a - 2d$ with Alkynes $3a - 3c$. To a suspension of precatalyst $1a - 1c$ (0.006 mmol) in 1,2,2,3,4,4,5,5,6,6-decafluoro-1,3-bis(trifluoromethyl)cyclohexane (0.7 ml) under Ar, bromoarene $2a - 2d$ (0.300 mmol), alkyne $3a - 3c$ (0.360 mmol), and CuI (0.015 mmol) in anh. DMF $(0.5 \text{ ml}; 0.03 \text{ m}$ stock soln. containing 20% (v/v) Bu₂NH $(0.600 \text{ mmol}))$ were added. The mixture was heated at 100° for 4 h. After cooling to 0° , the org. phase was separated from the fluorous phase. The fluorous phase containing the active Pd catalyst was washed with anh. DMF $(3 \times 2 \text{ ml})$ and used as such for the next run. For the isolation of the product, brine (40 ml) and aq. HCl (0.5M, 10 ml) were added to the combined DMF layers, which were extracted with CHCl₂ $(3 \times 2 \text{ ml})$. After washing with sat. NaHCO₂ soln. (4 ml) and back-extraction of the NaCO₃ soln. with CHCl₃ (5 ml), the combined CHCl₃ phases were evaporated. For complete removal of DMF, the residue was taken up in Et₂O (5 ml) again, washed with brine (4 ml) and passed through a plug of silica (2 cm^3) and alox (neutral, act II – III; 2 cm^3) (in the case of 2-methylbut-3-yn-2-ol (3c), Na₂SO₄ was used instead of alox). The filtrate was evaporated, yielding the desired products $3a-3l$ and remainders of the alkyne. All products were quantified by isolation or by comparing the intensities of their arom. H-atom signals in the ¹H-NMR spectra against 1,2-dibromoethane as an internal standard in CDCl₃ (100 μ l, 1.50m stock soln. $(= 3.65$ ppm $)).$

1-Triisopropyl-4-(2-nitroethynyl)benzene (4a). Dark yellow oil. ¹H-NMR: 1.13–1.15 $(m, 3 \text{ }^{\text{i}}\text{Pr})$; 7.61 $(d,$ $J = 8.8, 2$ arom. H); 8.17 (d, $J = 8.8, 2$ arom. H). ¹³C-NMR: 11.3; 18.6; 97.6; 104.9; 123.6; 130.4; 132.8; 147.2. MS: 303 (14, M⁺), 260 (100), 218 (27). Anal. calc. for C₁₇H₂₅NO₂Si: C 67.28, H 8.30, N 4.62; found: C 67.30, H 8.13, N 4.32.

 $1-Nitro-(4-phenylethvnyl)benzene$ (4b). Yellow solid. M.p. 113 $^{\circ}$ (cyclohexane/AcOEt) ([22]: m.p. 113-115°). ¹H-NMR: 7.32–7.41 (*m*, 3 arom. H); 7.55 (*d*, *J* = 7.6, 2 arom. H); 7.64 (*m*, 2 arom. H); 8.19 (*m*, 2 arom. H). ¹³C-NMR: 87.6; 94.8; 122.2; 123.7; 128.6; 129.4; 130.4; 131.9; 132.4; 147.1. MS: 223 (100, M⁺), 176 (39). Anal. calc. for C₁₄H₉NO₂: C 75.33, H 4.06, N 6.27; found: C 75.13, H 4.35, N 6.22.

2-Methyl-4-(4-nitrophenyl)but-3-yn-2-ol (4c). Yellow solid. M.p. 58° (cyclohexane/AcOEt). ¹H-NMR: 1.65 $(s, 2 \text{ Me})$; 2.40 (br. s, OH); 7.55 (d, J = 8.8, 2 arom. H); 8.17 (d, J = 10.7, 2 arom. H). ¹³C-NMR: 31.3; 65.7; 80.5; 99.2; 123.5; 129.8; 132.42; 147.2. MS: 205 (10, M^+), 190 (100). Anal. calc. for C₁₁H₁₁NO₃: C 64.38, H 5.40, N 6.83; found: C 64.16, H 5.55, N 6.67.

4-[(Triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl]acetophenone (**4d**). Yellow oil. $H-NMR: 1.13-1.14$ $(m, 3 \text{ }^{\text{i}}\text{Pr})$; 2.59 $(s, COMe)$; 7.54 $(d, J = 8.5, 2$ arom. H); 7.89 $(d, J = 8.6, 2$ arom. H). ¹³C-NMR: 11.4; 18.7; 26.6; 94.8; 106.2; 128.2; 128.4; 132.2; 136.4; 197.2. MS: 300 (13, M^+), 257 (100), 215 (35). Anal. calc. for C₁₉H₂₈OSi: C 75.94, H 9.39; found: C 75.98, H 9.51.

4-(Phenylethynyl)acetophenone (4e) [23]. Pale yellow solid. M.p. 93° (cyclohexane) ([24]: m.p. $94-96^{\circ}$). $1H\text{-NMR}: 2.60 \text{ (s, Me)}$; 7.34 – 7.38 $(m, 3 \text{ arom. H})$; 7.52 – 7.56 $(m, 2 \text{ arom. H})$; 7.60 $(d, J = 8.5, 2 \text{ arom. H})$; 7.93 $(d, J = 8.5, 2$ arom. H). ¹³C-NMR: 26.6; 88.6; 92.7; 122.7; 128.2; 128.3; 128.5; 128.8; 131.7; 131.8; 136.2; 197.3. $MS: 220 (70, M⁺), 205 (100), 177 (21).$

 $4-(3-Hydroxy-3-methylbut-1-yny)/acetophenone$ (4f) [25]. Yellow oil. ${}^{1}H\text{-NMR}: 1.64$ (s, 2 Me); 2.10 (s, OH); 2.58 (s, Me); 7.45 (m, 2arom. H); 7.86 (m, 2arom. H). 13C-NMR: 26.8; 31.6; 65.9; 81.7; 97.3; 127.9; 128.4; 132.0; 136.5; 197.5. MS: 202 (27, M^+), 187 (100), 159 (16).

Ethyl 4-[(triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl]benzoate (**4g**). Yellow oil. ¹H-NMR: 1.14 (m, 3¹Pr); 1.39 (t, J = 7.2, $MeCH₂$); 4.37 (q, J = 7.2, MeCH₂); 7.52 (d, J = 8.5, 2 arom. H); 7.98 (d, J = 8.5, 2 arom. H). ¹³C-NMR: 11.3; 14.3; 18.6; 61.1; 94.1; 106.3; 128.0; 129.3; 129.9; 131.9; 165.9. MS: 330 (11, M⁺), 287 (100), 245 (34). Anal. calc. for $C_{20}H_{30}O_2Si$: C 72.67, H 9.15; found: C 72.38, H 9.12.

Ethyl 4-(phenylethynyl)benzoate (4h) [26]. Yellow solid. M.p. 65° (cyclohexane/AcOEt). ¹H-NMR: 1.40 $(t, J = 7.3, MeCH₂)$; 4.39 $(q, J = 7.2, MeCH₂)$; 7.34 – 7.39 $(m, 3 \text{ arom. H})$; 7.52 – 7.57 $(m, 2 \text{ arom. H})$; 7.59 $(d, J = 1.5)$ 8.3, 2 arom. H); 8.03 (d, J = 8.3, 2 arom. H). ¹³C-NMR: 14.5; 61.3; 88.9; 92.5; 123.0; 128.1; 128.6; 129.0; 129.7; 130.1; 131.7; 132.6; 166.3. MS: 250 (93, M⁺), 177 (23).

Ethyl 4-(3-hydroxy-3-methylbut-1-ynyl)benzoate (4i). Orange oil. ¹H-NMR: 1.38 (t, J = 7.2, MeCH₂); 1.63 $(s, 2 \text{ Me})$; 2.20 (br. s, OH); 4.36 $(q, J = 7.1, \text{MeC}H_2)$; 7.43 $(d, J = 8.7, 2 \text{ arom. H})$; 7.95 $(d, J = 8.7, 2 \text{ arom. H})$.
¹³C-NMR: 14.2; 31.3; 61.1; 65.5; 81.4; 97.0; 127.5; 129.4; 129.8; 131.5; 166.1. MS: 232 (17, M⁺), 217 (1 (16), 159 (15). Anal. calc. for C₁₄H₁₆O₃: C 72.39, H 6.94; found: C 72.25, H 7.15.

1-Methoxy-4-[(triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl]benzene (4j). Yellow oil. ¹H-NMR: 1.13 (m, 3 ⁱPr); 3.75 (s, MeO); 6.79 (d, $J = 8.9$, 2 arom. H); 7.40 (d, $J = 8.9$, 2 arom. H). ¹³C-NMR: 11.5; 18.8; 55.2; 88.6; 107.3; 113.9; 115.9; 133.5; 159.8. MS: 288 (27, M^+), 245 (100), 203 (48). Anal. calc. for $C_{18}H_{28}OSi: C$ 74.94, H 9.78; found: C 75.18, H 10.02.

1-Methoxy-4-(phenylethynyl)benzene (4k) [23]. Orange solid. M.p. 46 (cyclohexane/AcOEt) ([24]: m.p. 50 – 51°). ¹H-NMR: 3.83 (s, MeO); 6.88 (d, J = 8.9, 2 arom. H); 7.30 – 7.35 (m, 3 arom. H); 7.47 (d, J = 9.0, 2 arom. H); 7.51 (d, J = 8.2, 2 arom. H). ¹³C-NMR: 55.5; 88.3; 89.9; 114.2; 115.6; 123.8; 128.1; 128.5; 131.7; 133.3; 161.4. MS: 208 (100, M^+), 193 (49).

1-(3-Hydroxy-3-methylbut-1-ynyl-4-methoxybenzene (4l). Dark yellow oil. ¹ H-NMR: 1.61 (s, 2Me); 2.02 $(br. s, OH);$ 3.80 $(s, MeO);$ 6.82 $(d, J = 8.9, 2$ arom. H); 7.34 $(d, J = 8.9, 2$ arom. H). ¹³C-NMR: 31.8; 55.5; 65.9; 82.2; 92.6; 114.1; 115.1; 133.3; 159.8. MS: 190 (29, M^+), 175 (51), 43 (100). Anal. calc. for C₁₂H₁₄O₂: C 75.76, H 7.42; found: C 75.73, H 7.42.

REFERENCES

[1] I. T. Horváth, J. Rábai, Science 1994, 266, 72.

- [2] D. W. Zhu, Synthesis 1993, 953; J. A. Gladysz, Science 1994, 266, 55; B. Cornils, Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 2147; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1997, 36, 2057; I. T. Horváth, Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 641; D. P. Curran, Z. R. Lee, Green Chem. 2001, G3.
- [3] P. G. Jessop, W. Leitner, 'Chemical Synthesis Using Supercritical Fluids', Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1999; J. M. DeSimone, E. E. Murray, Y. Z. Menceloglu, J. B. McClain, R. J. Romack, J. R. Combes, Science 1994, 265, 356; T. Osswald, S. Schneider, S. Wang, W. Bannwarth, Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 2965.
- [4] I. T. Horváth, G. Kiss, A. Cook, J. E. Bond, P. A. Stevens, J. Rábai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1998, 120, 3133.
- [5] J. J. J. Juliette, I. T. Horváth, J. A. Gladysz, Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 1682; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1997, 36,
- 1610; J. J. J. Juliette, D. Rutherford, I. T. Horváth, J. A. Gladysz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 2696.
- [6] J. A. Gladysz, L. V. Dinh, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 8995; E. de Wolf, E. A. Speets, B.-J. Deelman, G. van Koten, Organometallics 2001, 20, 3686.
- [7] I. Klement, H. Lütjens, P. Knochel, Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 1605; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1997, 36, 1454.
- [8] G. Pozzi, F. Cinato, S. Montanari, Chem. Commun. 1998, 877; S. Quici, M. Cavazzini, S. Montanari, G. Pozzi, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 3647; G. Pozzi, M. Cavazzini, F. Ciuato, S. Montanari, S. Quici, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 12, 1947; B. Betzemeier, F. Lhermitte, P. Knochel, Synlett 1999, 489.
- [9] A. Endres, G. Maas, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 6365.
- [10] J. M. Vincent, A. Rabion, V. K. Yachandra, R. H. Fish, Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 2438; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1997, 36, 2346-2349; G. Pozzi, M. Cavazzini, S. Quici, S. Fontana, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 9439.
- [11] B. Betzemeier, F. Lhermitte, P. Knochel, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 6667.
- [12] R. Kling, D. Sinou, G. Pozzi, A. Choplin, F. Quignard, S. Busch, S. Kainz, D. Koch, W. Leitner, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 9439.
- [13] B. Betzemeier, P. Knochel, Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 2736; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1997, 36, 2623.
- [14] J. Moineau, G. Pozzi, S. Quici, D. Sinou, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 7683.
- [15] S. Schneider, W. Bannwarth, Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 4293; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 4142.
- [16] S. Schneider, W. Bannwarth, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2001, 84, 735.
- [17] K. Sonogashira, Y. Tohda, N. Hagihara, Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 16, 4467; S. Takahashi, Y. Kuroyama, K. Sonogashira, N. Hagihara, Synthesis 1980, 627.
- [18] S. Schneider, Ph. D. Thesis, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg, 2001.
- [19] D. Sinou, G. Pozzi, E. G. Hope, A. M. Stuart, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 849.
- [20] R. Rossi, A. Carpita, F. Bellina, Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 1995, 27, 129; K. Sonogashira, in ʻMetal-catalyzed Cross-coupling Reactions', Eds. F. Diederich, P. J. Stang, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1998, p. 203; Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis', Vol. 1, Eds. M. Beller, C. Bolm, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1998, p. 170 K. Sonogashira, in 'Comprehensive Organic Synthesis', Vol. 3, Eds. B. M. Trost, I. Fleming, Pergamon, Oxford, 1991, p. 521.
- [21] S. Kainz, D. Koch, W. Baumann, W. Leitner, Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 1699; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1997, 36, 1628; S. Kainz, Z. Luo, D. P. Curran, W. Leitner, Synthesis 1998, 1425.
- [22] S. Akiyama, K. Tajima, S. Nakatsuji, K. Nakashima, K. Abiru, M. Watanabe, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1995, 68, 2043.
- [23] R. K. Gujadhur, C. G. Bates, D. Venkataraman, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 4315.
- [24] A. Arcadi, S. Cacchi, G. Fabrizi, F. Marinelli, P. Pace, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 2, 3305.
- [25] T. Hundertmark, A. F. Littke, S. L. Buchwald, G. C. Fu, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1729; S. J. Havens, J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 1763.
- [26] S.-W. Zhang, T. Sugioka, S. Takahashi, J. Mol. Catal. A. 1999, 143, 211.

Received April 20, 2002